

Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/US2004/000511

International filing date: 09 January 2004 (09.01.2004)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: US
Number: 60/439,093
Filing date: 09 January 2003 (09.01.2003)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 31 July 2006 (31.07.2006)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)



World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse

1495751

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

July 24, 2006

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ANNEXED HERETO IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE OF THOSE PAPERS OF THE BELOW IDENTIFIED PATENT APPLICATION THAT MET THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE GRANTED A FILING DATE.

APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/439,093

FILING DATE: *January 09, 2003*

RELATED PCT APPLICATION NUMBER: *PCT/US04/00511*

THE COUNTRY CODE AND NUMBER OF YOUR PRIORITY APPLICATION, TO BE USED FOR FILING ABROAD UNDER THE PARIS CONVENTION, IS *US60/439,093*



Certified by

Under Secretary of Commerce
for Intellectual Property
and Director of the United States
Patent and Trademark Office

01/09/03
11054 U.S. PTO

01-13-2003

PTO/SB/16 (10-01)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT COVER SHEET

This is a request for filing a PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT under 37 CFR 1.53 (c).

Express Mail Label No. EV194865385US

INVENTOR(S)					
Given Name (first and middle [if any])	Family Name or Surname	Residence (City and either State or Foreign Country)			
KUMAR GUILLAUME CHARLES CHUANMING JUNBIAO	RAMASWAMY BICHOT WANG ZHANG	PRINCETON, NJ, US PRINCETON, NJ, US JAMISON, PA, US BRIDGEWATER, NJ, US			
<input type="checkbox"/> Additional inventors are being named on the _____ separately numbered sheets attached hereto					
TITLE OF THE INVENTION (500 characters max)					
MAPPING A TRANSPORT STREAM INTO IP PACKETS FOR WLAN BROADCASTING SERVICES					
CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS					
Direct all correspondence to:					
<input type="checkbox"/> Customer Number				Place Customer Number Bar Code Label here	
OR		Type Customer Number here			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Firm or Individual Name	JOSEPH S. TRIPOLI, THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC.				
Address	PATENT OPERATIONS.				
Address	P. O. BOX 5312				
City	PRINCETON	State	NJ	ZIP	08543-5312
Country	USA	Telephone	609-734-6834	Fax	609-734-6888
ENCLOSED APPLICATION PARTS (check all that apply)					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specification Number of Pages		8		<input type="checkbox"/> CD(s), Number	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) Number of Sheets				<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Application Data Sheet. See 37 CFR 1.76					
METHOD OF PAYMENT OF FILING FEES FOR THIS PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT					
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.					
<input type="checkbox"/> A check or money order is enclosed to cover the filing fees					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge filing fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number:				07-0832	FILING FEE AMOUNT (\$) 160
<input type="checkbox"/> Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.					
The invention was made by an agency of the United States Government or under a contract with an agency of the United States Government.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No.					
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, the name of the U.S. Government agency and the Government contract number are: _____					
Respectfully submitted			Date: JANUARY 9, 2003		
SIGNATURE					
TYPED or PRINTED NAME PAUL P. KIEL			REGISTRATION NO. 40677 (if appropriate)		
TELEPHONE 1 609 734 6815			Docket Number: PU030015		

jc986 U.S. PTO
60/439093
01/09/03

USE ONLY FOR FILING A PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.51. The information is used by the public to file (and by the PTO to process) a provisional application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 8 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the complete provisional application to the PTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Box Provisional Application, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

MAPPING A TRANSPORT STREAM INTO IP PACKETS FOR WLAN BROADCASTING SERVICES

As a new application using a Wireless LAN (WLAN) in a hot spot (hotel lobby, airport, shopping mall, café, etc), wirelessly broadcasting live TV programs to WLAN-enabled mobile devices is attractive to many medium providers, such as FOX, NBC, ABC and CBS. Currently, in those high-volume traffic hot spots, a TV set tunes to a pre-set channel (e.g. CNN in an airport) and viewers have no choice of selecting different programs no matter he/she likes the program or not. With the WLAN deployment and WLAN-enabled devices, wirelessly broadcasting a number of TV programs in such a hot spot to those WLAN-enabled devices is a cost-effective way to attract a larger number of eyeballs for the medium companies. On the other hand, a viewer has his/her own private viewing environment, rather than watching a common TV program with everyone else.

Currently, the TV broadcasting studios broadcast TV programs in digitally compressed MPEG-2 streams. Those streams are packetized into MPEG-2 Transport Streams (TS) for distribution over a satellite network. When those streams are received at hot spots and re-broadcasted over an IP based WLAN, mapping from the transport stream to IP packets must be performed.

This invention proposes a unique mapping from an MPEG-2 TS into IP protocols to serve IP based MPEG-2 broadcasting services.

An MPEG 2 transport stream comprises a set of multiplexed compressed audiovisual programs as well as related program information about these carried programs. Such MPEG2 transport streams are broadcasted in satellite, terrestrial, and cable networks. The receiver (e.g. a set top box) receives the entire transport stream (several programs), demultiplexes it, decodes and displays a particular audiovisual program according to the user choice.

The solution of broadcasting an MPEG2 stream in an Ethernet LAN is to simply carry the MPEG2 transport stream packets over the UDP and IP multicast/broadcast protocols. This method requires a significant amount of processing power in the terminal to demultiplex the MPEG2 transport stream. In the context of WLAN where the terminal is a mobile device, such as a PDA or cellular phone, power consumption and CPU processing power are very critical to do all the processing.

This invention proposes a novel MPEG-2 transport stream distribution method over an IP network, especially a WLAN. It relies on a pre-processing, including demultiplexing and mapping, of MPEG2 transport stream before distribution in a wireless network in such a way a terminal needs to listen to and process only the packets relative to the program the user is interested in. In addition the invention proposes several possibilities to reduce the amount of bandwidth that would have been required to transmit the original MPEG2 transport stream. Furthermore, the fact that the MPEG2 transport stream is demultiplexed in the network allows the possibility to transcode the MPEG2 program streams with the different bit rate requirements.

Key Aspects of the Invention:

1. Method and apparatus for demultiplexing a composite MPEG transport stream into elementary streams, extracting the program related information and repackaging the program information into a well known multicasting IP address for consumption in a closed IP network.
2. Mapping the aforementioned elementary(audio and video pairs) streams into a multicasting IP transport

IETF RFC 2250

[1] specifies a scheme to carry an MPEG-2 TS in RTP payload. In this scheme, a RTP payload contains an integral number of MPEG-2 transport packets, each with 188-byte length. This scheme relies on the receiver to do all the MPEG-2 TS processing, including de-multiplexing, extracting program information from PAT and PMTs, and forming elementary streams (ES) of programs from multiple transport packets. This scheme not only requires significant processing power in a receiver, but also reduces the channel bandwidth requirement to deliver MPEG-2 TS over a RTP/UDP/IP stack for broadcasting services.

Introduction

Mapping an MPEG-2 TS into IP packets for video broadcasting service requires special consideration of the characteristics of a TS. MPEG-2 TS protocol was designed to carry digitally compressed video over a Constant Delayed Network (CDN), such as cable or satellite networks. In addition to the audio and video contents in an Elementary Stream format, extra information about the underlying programs is carried in a TS as well to ease the receiver to select a desired program. Such extra information is called Program Specific Information (PSI), including Program Association Table (PAT), Conditional Access Table (CAT), Program Map Tables (PMTs), identified by designated Packet Identifiers (PIDs). When mapping from an MPEG-2 TS into IP packets for broadcasting services, one must take special care about the extra information on the programs:

- They must be carried over a well-known IP address and port, and therefore all the hosts in that subnetwork can receive them without pre-configuration;
- They have to be transmitted at certain minimum interval so that a receiver can capture the program information so quickly that it can tune to a program without noticeable delay;
- They have to be transmitted using as little channel bandwidth as possible to conserve the bandwidth.


The last two requirements are contradictory to each other. A trade-off design must balance those goals in a systematic way.

In an IP based network, Real Time Protocol (RTP) over UDP/IP is used to encapsulate video or audio packets. This RTP/UDP/IP protocol stack has many features embedded in those protocol headers similar to the features in an MPEG-2 transport stream. We examine and compare MPEG-2 TS with RTP/UDP/IP stack to find the mapping to achieve the following two goals:

- The network under consideration is a WLAN with limited channel capacity. Reduce the overhead and minimize the bandwidth is essential;

- This invention proposes to do as much pre-processing in the transmitter side as possible to reach those goals.

Figure 1 illustrates a basic video broadcast service system using a WLAN network. The MPEG2 source may be a local multimedia server or a digital signal received from a satellite transponder. The MPEG2 source can be an MPEG2 transport stream or a program stream.



MPEG2 Transport Stream

MPEG-2 Transport Stream

In a terrestrial broadcasting system, MPEG-2 Transport Stream (TS) carries audiovisual contents in fixed-sized packets. Figure 2 shows the process of generating an MPEG-2 TS from uncompressed video and audio. A program consists of at least one elementary video and one elementary audio stream. Multiple video (different viewing perspective) and audio (different languages) elementary streams in a program is permissible. All the packetized elementary streams of several programs and the Program Specific Information (PSI), including Program Association Table (PAT), Program Map Table (PMT), Network Information Table (NIT) and Conditional Access Table (CAT), are multiplexed into a transport stream. Each transport packet belongs to a particular elementary stream (either a video, audio or PSI). The packet identifier (PID) is used to address each corresponding elementary stream. This process is illustrated in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Process of generating an MPEG-2 transport stream from uncompressed video and audio data.

The MPEG2 Program Specific Information (PSI) is composed of different tables that provide information about the programs transported in the Transport Stream. Figure 3 illustrates the hierarchical relationship between the PAT (Program Association Table), the PMTs (Program Map Tables) and the programs that a TS carries. A PAT is always identified by PID=0. In a PAT, all the programs are listed and each program is referenced by a PMT whose PID is associated with the program in the PAT.




Figure 3. Hierarchical relationship of programs, PAT and PMTs.

Express mail: EV 194865385 US

PU030015

In a proposed WLAN broadcasting system (see Figure 1), Multiple TV programs carried in an MPEG-2 TS is re-broadcasted to all the WLAN-enabled devices within a WLAN coverage area. From a satellite transponder, a receiver receives an MPEG-2 transport stream consisting of fixed-sized transport packets. As suggested in

[1], those transport packets can be directly encapsulated into an RTP payload and carried over an IP-based WLAN. This approach has the following drawbacks:

- It relies on the receivers to process (de-multiplex) the transport stream. For mobile terminals, the CPU power is limited and should be dedicated to other important tasks, such as video and audio decoding and displaying.
- All the transport packets will be carried over in RTP payload, whether the receivers require them or not. This wastes precious bandwidth in a WLAN.
- All the video or audio transport packets are encapsulated in a RTP traffic flow with the same destination address and port number. The receivers have to process all the RTP traffic with the TS payload even most packets are dropped on the floor.
- There are some redundancy information carried in both transport packet and RTP headers

In this invention, we propose a novel mapping from an MPEG-2 TS to IP-based RTP/UDP/IP stack for broadcasting service in a WLAN to achieve the aforementioned goals. All the mapping functions may be performed in the transcoder shown in Figure 1.

Considering a mobile device (PDA) that is a thin-client-like host with limited CPU and memory resources, we should move as much of the processing function from a client to the server as possible, such as de-multiplexer function. When a transcoder receives a transport stream, it de-multiplexes the stream based on PIDs assigned to each transport packet. This de-multiplexing function extracts several components from a transport stream: video and audio PES/ES associated with programs and PSI (PAT and PMTs). The broadcasting service in a hot spot which could be free of charge for the subscribers or have a subscription model. The conditional access system is then simply the access control mechanism used in the communication layer. Encryption/Reencryption of content is not envisioned. Therefore, the conditional access table (CAT) in an MPEG-2 TS is ignored in the processing. Notice that not all the programs received from a transponder are to be rebroadcasted in a WLAN broadcasting service. Those undesired elementary streams are discarded in the processing to reducing the processing time and the WLAN bandwidth.

Having de-muxed and re-assembled the PSI and all the elementary streams for WLAN broadcasting service, the transcoder maps each broadcasting elementary stream of a program into a RTP traffic data flow. The RTP packets are encapsulated in multicasting addresses (e.g. Class D IP addresses) and then transmitted over a WLAN. The multicasting addresses used to carry the elementary streams are announced by the PSI packets.

When MPEG-2 video and audio elementary streams of a program are carried in a RTP payload, video and audio may be encapsulated into separate RTP traffic flows with distinct RTP payload types

[1]. This encapsulation of separating video and audio requires a receiver synchronizes video and audio for lip synch. Another encapsulation [3] proposes a bundled video and audio elementary streams belonging to the same program into a single RTP traffic flow for multicasting. This bundled encapsulation provides a coherent

Express mail: EV 194865385 US

PU030015

synchronization between video and audio. This bundled encapsulation of video and audio in a single RTP traffic flow is preferred.

To ensure that all the hosts connecting to the broadcast access point to receive the PSI for program selection, the PSI must be sent to clients along with the audiovisual streams to aid user to choose broadcasting programs. There are two approaches to do that. The first approach directly maps PSI tables in their original formats (PAT and PMTs with some modifications) into a well-known multicast address. Due to the different addressing schemes used in MPEG-2 TS and IP network, the transcoder must insert the multicasting IP address for each program in its associated PMT. In a PMT, only PIDs are used to point to its associated video and audio elementary stream. When a multicasting IP address is inserted into a PMT, additional byte space is required to store the IP address. The descriptor field in a PMT [2] can be used to store and carry the multicasting IP address. After the insertion of the multicasting IP address in a PMT, the CRC in the PMT must be re-calculated due to the modification of the PMT. The PAT and the PMTs information are processed to form the new program specific information (PSI) packets carried over UDP/IP using a well-known multicast address. The second approach is to define new PSI protocol suitable for a WLAN based video broadcasting service. The PSI protocol is carried over the same well-known multicast address and delivered to all the hosts within WLAN coverage area. The second approach provides a means to support some proprietary data in the PSI. In either approach, the packets carrying the PSI are called PSI packets to distinguish them from the packets carrying the elementary streams.

To reduce the receiver processing time when such program information remains the same (no changes in PAT and PMTs), a reserved bit in a PSI packet may be borrowed as a *new* flag to indicate that all the PSI remains the same or not since the last transmission. If any changes occur since the last transmission, the *new* flag is set (=1). Otherwise, the *new* flag is reset (=0). The entire processing is depicted in Figure 4. In that figure, the PSI table direct mapping and bundled RTP encapsulation approaches are illustrated.

Express mail: EV 194865385 US

PU030015




Figure 4. The demuxing and encapsulating processing in the transcoder.

Client software in a mobile device first processes the PSI packets encapsulated in the well-known broadcast address to restore the program specific information stream in order to compose a program map, including the list of elementary streams for each program and their corresponding multicast addresses. The client may ignore the subsequent PSI packets as long as the *new* flag remains reset. The program map must be re-built in a client once the *new* flag is set in a received PSI packet. When a user requests to view a program, the client extracts the multicasting IP address from the program map and then only listens to the IP packets destined to that multicasting IP address. When a user switches to a different program (like a user change viewing channel on a TV), the client

Express mail: EV 194865385 US

PU030015

software first locates the associated program information from the program map, extracts the multicasting address(es) associated with that program and listens to the packets destined to the selected multicasting address(es). This way, the client software can select various programs by listening to different multicasting addresses.

In our proposed mapping, transport packet (TP) headers are eliminated during the mapping due to the redundant fields specified both in the TP header and RTP header. In a TP header, the relevant fields for a broadcasting is *continuity_counter* and Program Clock Reference (PCR) (PCR is inserted in an adaptation field of a TP header and the adaptation field is optional for a TP.) The *continuity_counter* is used for a receiver to detect any packet loss. However, a field called *sequence_number* is specified in a RTP header, which plays a similar role. PCR is used to precisely synchronize the clocks of receiver and transmitter in a constant delayed network. This clock synchronization may be simplified in other means. (e.g. using the timestamp in RTP header)

In an MPEG-2 transport stream, elementary streams are usually encapsulated in a packetized elementary stream (PES). The PES header carries various rate, timing, and data descriptive information, as set by the source encoder. One option is to map an entire PES packet directly to a RTP packet to reserve all the information carried in a PES header. However, most of the fields in a PES header are optional. The most relevant field in a PES header to a broadcasting service is the Presentation Time Stamp (PTS). This PTS of MPEG-2 picture or audio frame can be carried in the timestamp field in a RTP header. The RTP packets carrying the same picture or audio frame should have the same timestamp.

To reduce the overhead of RTP/UDP/IP headers (total 40 bytes), a standard compression scheme [5] may be applied. This compression algorithm compresses the combined RTP/UDP/IP 40 byte header to a 2 byte when UDP checksum is not sent, 4 bytes otherwise.

Reference:

- [1] D. Hoffman *et al.*, "RTP Payload Format for MPEG1/MPEG2 Video," IETF RFC 2250, January 1998.
- [2] ISO/IEC International Standard 13818-1, "Generic Coding of Moving Pictures and Associated Audio Information: System," 1996.
- [3] M. Civanlar, G. Cash and B. Haskell, "RTP Payload Format for Bundled MPEG," IETF RFC 2343, May, 1998.
- [4] H. Schulzrinne, S. Casner, R. Frederick and V. Jacobson, "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications," RFC 1889, January, 1996.
- [5] S. Casner and V. Jacobson, "Compressing IP/UDP/RTP Headers for Low-Speed Serial Links", RFC 2508, February 1999.